BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 JERRY GODDARD, 4 PCHB No. 734 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, v. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 OLYMPIC AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER CONTROL AUTHORITY, 7 Respondent. 8 9

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$50 civil penalty for an alleged Open-burning violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 27th day of May, 1975, at Lacey, Washington; and appellant, Jerry Goddard, appearing pro se and respondent, Olympic Air Pollution Control Authority, appearing through its attorney, Fred D. Gentry; and Board members present at the hearing being W. A. Gissberg (presiding), Chris Smith and Walt Woodward and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, exhibits, records and files herein and having entered on

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

the 2nd day of June, 1975, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, and the Board having served said proposed Findings, 2 Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, 3 return receipt requested and twenty days having elapsed from said 4 5 service; and The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, 6 Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 7 8 now therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed 9 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 2nd day of 10 June, 1975, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 11 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 12 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. 13 DONE at Lacey, Washington, this day of 14 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 15 16 17

2

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

AND ORDER

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING L 2 I, LaRene Barlin, certify that I deposited in the United States mail, copies of the foregoing document on the 3 day of 4 1975, to each of the following-named parties, at the last known post 5 office addresses, with the proper postage affixed to the respective 6 envelopes: 7 Mr. Fred D. Gentry Bean, Gentry and Rathbone 8 P. O. Box 2317 Olympia, Washington 98507 9 Mr. Jerry Goddard 10 2036 E. 4th Olympia, Washington 98501 11 12 13 14 15 16 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

24

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF JERRY GODDARD, 4 PCHB No. 734 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, v. 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER OLYMPIC AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, 8 Respondent. 9 This matter, the appeal of a \$50 civil penalty for an alleged 10 open-burning violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the 11 Pollution Control Hearings Board (William A. Gissberg, presiding officer; 12Chris Smith, Chairman, and Walt Woodward) at a formal hearing in the 13 Board's Lacey Office on May 27, 1975. Appellant appeared pro se. Respondent appeared through Fred D. 15

Gentry. Sherri Darkow, Olympia court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

BEFORE THE

16

17

18

Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Respondent, pursuant to Section 5, chapter 69, Laws of 1974, 3rd Ex. Sess., has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

II.

Section 9.01(b) of respondent's Regulation I requires a permit from respondent for open fires other than for recreation purposes, disposal of waste on premises of private dwellings or from flares, torches and waste gas burners. Section 3.27 authorizes a civil penalty of not more than \$250 for any violation of Regulation I.

III.

Appellant, an Olympia service station owner, also owns property on which he does not have his private dwelling, at the intersection of 4th Avenue and Wilson Street in Olympia, Thurston County. Instructed by City of Olympia officials that he must demolish a hazardous old garage on the property, appellant did so and then sought and obtained from the Olympia Fire Department a permit to burn "scraps and brush" resulting from the garage demolition. He was assured by fire department spokesmen that no other permits were required for the proposed open fire. The department's permit was valid and in force on September 6, 1974.

IV.

On September 6, 1974, appellant and an assistant ignited a pile of wood waste from the demolished garage. Asphalt shingles, tar

27 | FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER paper and linoleum had been removed from the waste pile and were not ignited; these petroleum based materials were hauled away from the property. Appellant and/or his attendant were in attendance at the fire until 5:30 p.m. when all that remained was a bed of coals.

v.

At about 6:30 p.m. on September 6, 1974, an inspector on respondent's staff was at the scene of the fire in response to a citizen complaint. The inspector, an experienced fire-fighter, saw no evidence of petroleum products in the coals but detected the "distinct odor of rubber or petroleum products" emanating from the fire scene. Later, he ascertained that appellant did not hold a permit for the fire from respondent.

Accordingly, he issued to appellant Citation No. 336, citing Section 9.01 of respondent's Regulation I ("demolition open fire without a valid OAPCA permit") and Citation No. 337, also citing Section 9.01 ("burning of prohibited materials at demolition site"). Subsequently, and in connection therewith, respondent served appellant with a civil penalty assessment of \$50, which is the subject of this appeal.

VI.

On and before September 6, 1974, there was a misunderstanding between the Olympia Fire Department and respondent on respondent's requirement for a demolition fire permit from respondent. As a result of this confusion, appellant was given misinformation by the department concerning his permit requirements.

VII.

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

From these findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Appellant, although given misinformation on this point, was required to have had a demolition open-burning permit from respondent (Section 9.01(b) of respondent's Regulation I) and, therefore, was in violation of Section 9.01 as detailed in Citation No. 336.

II.

Appellant, who carefully removed petroleum-based products from the garage waste pile before igniting it, was not in violation of Section 9.01 as detailed in Citation No. 337.

III.

The civil penalty assessment of \$50, being one-fifth of the maximum allowable amount, is reasonable. But the actual collection of this amount is not justified in view of the misinformation appellant was given concerning the permit. Suspension of the penalty would be proper.

IV.

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this

ORDER

The appeal is denied, but the \$50 penalty is suspended pending no similar violation of Regulation I by appellant for a period of six months from the date this order becomes final.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

| | DONE at Lacey, Washington, this day of Juil, 1975. |
|----|--|
| 1 | |
| 2 | POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD |
| 3 | O_{1} |
| 4 | CHRIS SMITH, Chairman |
| 5 | CHAID BHILLY CHAILMAN |
| 6 | |
| 7 | W. A. GISSBERG, Member |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Walt Roodward |
| 10 | WALT WOODWARD, Member |
| 11 | i i |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| - | |

27 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER